Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | (s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|-----|--|
| 10/655,372 | SAKAI, MASANAO | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| JOSEPH PAN | 2435 | | |

| | JOSEFITFAN | 2433 | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>28 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months | s of the date of | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause | | |
| (a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further cor | | ΓE below); | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | ** | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | er form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for | | |
| appeal; and/or | arragnanding number of finally rais | antad alaima | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ²) | | ected ciaims. | | | |
| | , | mpliant Amandment (| DTOL 224) | | |
| <u> </u> | | mpilant Amendment (i | PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timaly filed emandmer | ot concoling the | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii submilled in a separale, i | umery nied amendmer | it canceling the | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven. | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,10-15,17-21 and 23-32</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| /Kimyen Vu/ | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended independent claims would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or thorough search..